## BEFORE THE INDIAN CLAIMS COMMISSION

| THE LIPAN APACHE TRIBE, THE MESCALERO APACHE TRIBE, et al.,   | ) |        |              |      |
|---|---|--------|--------------|------|
| Plaintiffs,   | ) |        |              |      |
| THE TONKAWA TRIBE OF INDIANS, et al.,   | ) |        |              |      |
| Second Intervenors,   | ) | Daalaa | <b>N</b> 7 - | 22.6 |
| v.  | ) | Docket | NO.          | 22-0 |
| THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,   | ) |        |              |      |
| Defendant.  | ) |        |              |      |
| THE CADDO TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA, IN ITS OWN RIGHT AND DAN MADRANO, LLOYD TOUNWIN  | ) |        |              |      |
| AND ANDREW DUNLAP ON RELATION OF THE CADDO TRIBE OF INDIANS AND THE CADDO TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA EACH ON BEHALF OF   | ) |        |              |      |
| OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED AND ON BEHALF OF THE CADDO TRIBE AND VARIOUS BANDS AND GROUPS OF EACH OF THEM COMPRISING THE CADDO TRIBE AND NATION,          | ) |        |              |      |
| Plaintiffs,   | ) | Docket | No.          | 226  |
| THE ALABAMA-COUSHATTA TRIBES OF TEXAS AND THE COUSHATTA INDIANS OF LOUISIANA,   | ) |        |              |      |
| Intervenors,  | ) |        |              |      |
| THE WICHITA INDIAN TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA AND BANDS AND GROUPS OF INDIANS WHICH HAVE BEEN OR WHICH ARE AFFILIATED WITH THE WICHITA INDIAN TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA, | ) |        |              |      |
| INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE WICHITA, WACOS, KEECHIS AND TOWACONIES,  | ) |        |              |      |
| Second Intervenors,   | ) |        |              |      |

THE TONKAWA TRIBE OF INDIANS OF OKLAHOMA

AMALGAMATED WITH AND SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST )

TO THE TEXAS TONKAWA TRIBE AND THE TEXAS )

LIPAN TRIBE AND THE TEXAS KARANKAWA TRIBE, )

Third Intervenors, )

v. )

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )

## ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR CONSOLIDATION OF DOCKET NOS. 226 AND 22-C

On April 9, 1971, the defendant moved to consolidate for trial the two above-captioned dockets and Docket 257, Kiowa, Comanche and Apache Tribes v. United States, on the ground that the claims in the three dockets related to overlapping territory. By Commission order of July 17, 1974, the defendant's motion was denied insofar as it sought consolidation of Docket 257 with Dockets 22-C and 226. See 34 Ind. C1. Comm. 287.

FOR THE REASONS set forth in the accompanying opinion, which is hereby made a part of this order,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant's motion to consolidate Dockets 22-C and 226 be, and the same hereby is, denied.

Dated at Washington, D. C., this 24th day of January, 1975

Jerome K. Kuykendall, Chairman

ohn . Vance, Commissioner

Richard W. Yarboyough, Commissioner

Margaret H. Pierce, Commissioner

Brantley Blue, Commissioner