

BEFORE THE INDIAN CLAIMS COMMISSION

THE CADDO TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA, IN ITS OWN)
RIGHT AND DAN MADRANO, LLOYD TOUNWIN)
AND ANDREW DUNLAP ON RELATION OF THE)
CADDO TRIBE OF INDIANS AND THE CADDO)
TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA EACH ON BEHALF OF)
OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED AND ON)
BEHALF OF THE CADDO TRIBE AND VARIOUS)
BANDS AND GROUPS OF EACH OF THEM)
COMPRISING THE CADDO TRIBE AND NATION,)

Plaintiffs,)

THE ALABAMA-COUSHATTA TRIBES OF TEXAS AND)
THE COUSHATTA INDIANS OF LOUISIANA,)

Intervenors,)

THE WICHITA INDIAN TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA AND)
BANDS AND GROUPS OF INDIANS WHICH HAVE BEEN)
OR WHICH ARE AFFILIATED WITH THE WICHITA)
INDIAN TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA, INCLUDING BUT)
NOT LIMITED TO THE WICHITA, WACOS, KEECHIS)
AND TOWACONIES,)

Second Intervenors,)

THE TONKAWA TRIBE OF INDIANS OF OKLAHOMA)
AMALGAMATED WITH AND SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST)
TO THE TEXAS TONKAWA TRIBE AND THE TEXAS)
LIPAN TRIBE AND THE TEXAS KARANKAWA TRIBE,)

Third Intervenors,)

v.)

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

Defendant.)

Docket No. 226

ORDER DENYING SECOND INTERVENORS'
MOTION FILED ON JUNE 24, 1974


On June 24, 1974, the second intervenors filed a motion hereunder captioned:

Motion to File and Make as a Part of the Record in Docket No. 257 the Testimony and Exhibits of the Wichita Indian Tribe of Oklahoma on File in Docket No. 226 for the Reasons and Purposes Stated in this Said Motion and for other Ancillary Relief.

On July 17, 1974, the Commission entered a final award in the case of Kiowa, Comanche and Apache Tribes v. United States, Docket 257. See 34 Ind. Cl. Comm. 263, at 286. On July 18, 1974, the Commission, pursuant to Section 21 of the Indian Claims Commission Act, 60 Stat. 1049, at 1055, reported to Congress that proceedings under said Docket 257 had been finally concluded. Under section 22(a) of said act, 60 Stat. at 1055, the filing of said report to Congress has the effect of a final judgment of the Court of Claims, thereby depriving the Commission of any further jurisdiction over said docket. In such circumstances, the Commission is without jurisdiction to implement the record under said Docket 257, and,


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the above-described motion of the second intervenors, filed under Docket 226 on June 24, 1974, be, and the same hereby is, denied.

Dated at Washington, D. C., this 24th day of January, 1975


Jerome K. Kuykendall, Chairman


John T. Vance, Commissioner


Richard W. Yarborough, Commissioner


Margaret H. Pierce, Commissioner


Brantley Blue, Commissioner