

BEFORE THE INDIAN CLAIMS COMMISSION

THE NAVAJO TRIBE,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Docket Nos. 69, 299, and 353
)	(Accounting Claims)
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER SEPARATING ACCOUNTING CLAIM FROM OTHER CLAIMS
IN DOCKET NO. 69


On February 5, 1975, defendant submitted a motion that plaintiff's claims 1 through 6 in Docket 69 be severed from the accounting claim in Docket 69 (claim 7), and placed in a new docket to be designated Docket 69-A and that a separate petition be filed in the newly created Docket 69-A to embody amendments permitted by our order issued January 23, 1975, 35 Ind. Cl. Comm. 305, 315.


Plaintiff filed a response on March 3, 1975, stating it did not object to defendant's proposed designation of Docket 69-A, but suggesting that an appropriate segregation of claims would exist by using the designation Dockets 69, 299 and 353 (accounting claims), and using Docket 69 for the remaining (claims 1 through 6, and claim 8).

UPON CONSIDERATION of our opinion of January 23, 1975, supra, granting plaintiff's motion to amend claims 1 through 6, determining that claims 1 through 6, and claim 8, were not accounting matters, and ordering that these claims be separated from the consolidated accounting claims in Dockets 69, 299 and 353; and of defendant's motion and plaintiff's reply;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that henceforth the aforesaid accounting claims shall be designated "Docket Nos. 69, 299 and 353 (Accounting Claims)", and that the remaining claims in Docket 69, shall be designated "Docket No. 69 (Claims 1 through 6, and Claim 8)."

Dated at Washington, D. C., this 28th day of May 1975.


Margaret H. Pierce, Commissioner


Brantley Blue, Commissioner


Jerome K. Kuykendall, Chairman


John T. Vance, Commissioner


Richard W. Yarborough, Commissioner